

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/006,242	HINCHLIFFE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KAMAL B. DIVECHA	2151	

All Participants:

Status of Application: POSSIBLE ALLOWANCE

(1) KAMAL B. DIVECHA.

(3) _____

(2) Kevin J. Zilka.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25, 31, 32

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Based on the supervisor's approval (SPE William Vaughn), Examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss the followings:

- a. Overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection by deleting the phrase "tangible" and inserting the the term computer readable storage medium.
- b. Incorporate the teachings of claim 4 into the claim 1 and doing the same to other parallel claims.

Any questions regarding the substance of the interview, the examiner can be reached at 571-272-5863.



Kamal Divecha
Art Unit 2151
September 18, 2006.